

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/767,824	Applicant(s) COOPERSTONE ET AL.	
	Examiner Raymond J. Henley III	Art Unit 1614	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Raymond J. Henley III.

(3) _____

(2) Cathy A. Kodroff.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 January 2006

Time: PM (E.S.T.)

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 3, 8 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:
 None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Authorization given to make Examiner's Amendment, said amendment correcting minor informalities in the claims.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)